



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vingnia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

CONFIRMATION NO. ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. FIRST NAMED INVENTOR FILING DATE APPLICATION NO. 3796.2-US PETER R. FENNER 01/08/1999 09/227,688

08/04/2003

MARK A. HUBBARD MUNSCH HARDT KOPF & HART, PC 1445 ROSS AVENUE, SUITE 4000 DALLAS, TX 752022790

EXAMINER NGUYEN, HANH N

PAPER NUMBER ART UNIT

2662

DATE MAILED: 08/04/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<u>. </u>		Tandiocato (Co
	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Summary	09/227,688	FENNER, PETER R.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Hanh Nguyen	2662
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM		
THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>02</u> .	June 2003 .	•
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ Th	nis action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims		
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>19-28 and 32-40</u> is/are pending in the application.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>19-28 and 32-40</u> is/are rejected.		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.	" ·
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.		
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.		
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).		
11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.		
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.		
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).		
ä) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:		
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.		
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No		
3: Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.		
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).		
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.		
Attachment(s)		
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Inform	ary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) al Patent Application (PTO-152)

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in-
- (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effect under this subsection of a national application published under section 122(b) only if the international application designating the United States was published under Article 21(2)(a) of such treaty in the English language; or
- (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that a patent shall not be deemed filed in the United States for the purposes of this subsection based on the filing of an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a).

Claims 19, 21-28, 29-38 and 40 are rejected under 35 USC 102(e) as being unpatentable over Shimizu et al. (US Pat. No. 4,989,204).

In claims 19, 24, 27, 32, 35 and 38, Shimizu et al. discloses, in Fig.1, a mobile station M1 moving from zone Z1 having base station B1 to zone Z2 having base station B2 transmitting a request packet 61 (Fig.5A) (two or more networks interconnected by at least one handling node for routing data packets). The request packet 61 (see Fig.5A) comprises a source mobile station ID and destination mobile ID (fixed logical destination code identifying a receiver). See col.7, lines 4-10 & col.6, lines 30-35. Base station B2 (at least one routing node) in zone Z2 (in a network) communicates the receipt of reconnect request packet to the central station to establish

Application/Control Number: 09/227,688

Art Unit: 2662

a data packet communication between the mobile station M1 and the base station B2 (handling node routes data packet to mobile receiver based on destination code). See Abstract & col.7, lines 40-50.

In claims 21, 22, 25, 33, 36 and 40, the limitations of these claims have been addressed in claim 19.

In claim 23, 26, 28, 34 and 37, packetized voice packet that has been disclosed in claim 19 is Ip packet. So, Its destination address should be IP addresses.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 20 and 39 are rejected under 35 USC 103(a) as being unpatentable over **Shimizu** et al. (US Pat. No. 4,989,204).

In claims 20 and 39, Shimizu does not disclose a node containing a table lookup.

However, it is inherent in the art to have a table lookup in a node in order to list, update source, destination addresses of a packet; and route the received packet to destination based on the

Application/Control Number: 09/227,688

Art Unit: 2662

address in in the table. Therefore, it would have been obvious to modify the **Shimizu** by having a lookup table in base stations so as to route data packet to destinations.

Response to Arguments

3. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 19-28 and 32-40 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

4. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Comroe et al. (US Pat. No. 4,833,701) discloses a Trunk Communication System With Nation Wide Roaming Capability.

Yotsutani et al. (US Pat. No. 4,843,622) disclosesCommunication Control System Capable of Searching a Called telephone set in a Mobile Radio telephone network.

Goodman (US Pat. No. 4,916,691) discloses Telecommunication Switching System.

Chaney (US Pat. No. 3,355,556) discloses Automatic Mobile Radio Telephone.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Hanh Nguyen whose telephone number is (703) 306-5445. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 8:30AM to 5:30 PM.

Art Unit: 2662

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone is unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Hassan Kizou, can be reached on (703) 305-4744. The fax phone number for this Group is (703) 872-9314.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-4700.

July 28, 2003

Hanh Nguyen